First Experimental Characterization of Microwave Emission from Cosmic Ray Air Showers

R. Šmída,[1,*] F. Werner,[1] R. Engel,[1] J.C. Arteaga-Velázquez,[2] K. Bekk,[1] M. Bertaina,[3] J. Blümer,[1] H. Bozdog,[1] I.M. Brancus,[4] A. Chiavassa,[3] F. Cossavella,[1,†] F. Di Pierro,[3] P. Doll,[1] B. Fuchs,[1] D. Fuhrmann,[5,‡] C. Grupen,[6] A. Haungs,[1] D. Heck,[1] J.R. Hörandel,[7] D. Huber,[1] T. Huege,[1] K.-H. Kampert,[5] D. Kang,[1] H. Klages,[1] M. Kleifges,[1] O. Krömer,[1] K. Link,[1] P. Łuczak,[8] M. Ludwig,[1] H.J. Mathes,[1] S. Mathys,[5] H.J. Mayer,[1] M. Melissas,[1] C. Morello,[9] P. Neunteufel,[1] J. Oehlschläger,[1] N. Palmieri,[1] J. Pekala,[10] T. Pierog,[1] J. Rautenberg,[5] H. Rebel,[1] M. Riegel,[1] M. Roth,[1] F. Salamida,[1,§] H. Schieler,[1] S. Schoo,[1] F.G. Schröder,[1] O. Sima,[11] J. Stasielak,[10] G. Toma,[4] G.C. Trinchero,[9] M. Unger,[1] M. Weber,[1] A. Weindl,[1] H. Wilczyński,[10] M. Will,[1] J. Wochele,[1] and J. Zabierowski[8]

[1] *Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany*
[2] *Universidad Michoacana, Instituto de Física y Matemáticas, Morelia, México*
[3] *Università di Torino and Sezione INFN, Torino, Italy*
[4] *National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania*
[5] *Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany*
[6] *Department of Physics, Siegen University, Germany*
[7] *Department of Astrophysics, Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands*
[8] *National Centre for Nuclear Research, Department of Astrophysics, Łódź, Poland*
[9] *Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, INAF Torino, Italy*
[10] *Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, Krakow, Poland*
[11] *Department of Physics, University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania*

(Dated: October 31, 2014)

We report the first direct measurement of the overall characteristics of microwave radio emission from extensive air showers. Using a trigger provided by the KASCADE-Grande air shower array, the signals of the microwave antennas of the CROME (Cosmic-Ray Observation via Microwave Emission) experiment have been read out and searched for signatures of radio emission by high-energy air showers in the GHz frequency range. Microwave signals have been detected for more than 30 showers with energies above 3×10^{16} eV. The observations presented in this Letter are consistent with a mainly forward-directed and polarised emission process in the GHz frequency range. The measurements show that microwave radiation offers a new means of studying air showers at $E \geq 10^{17}$ eV.

PACS numbers: 96.50.S-, 96.50.sd, 07.57.Kp

arXiv:1410.8291v1 [astro-ph.IM] 30 Oct 2014

Introduction – At energies above 10^{15} eV cosmic rays can be measured only indirectly by studying the extensive air showers they produce in the Earth's atmosphere [1]. Different techniques have been developed to measure air showers with instruments of large aperture. While arrays of particle detectors at ground can only sample the shower at one particular depth in the atmosphere, optical detectors allow the measurement of the evolution of the shower, including the depth profile of the number of shower particles in the atmosphere. Optical methods have the advantage of providing a calorimetric measurement of the shower energy and, through determining the depth of shower maximum, also a good estimate of the type or mass of the primary particle. On the other hand, they can be applied only in dark nights and good atmospheric conditions, limiting the duty cycle to typically less than 15%.

Since the pioneering studies in the late 1960s [2] it has been known that extensive air showers produce electromagnetic pulses in the kHz and MHz frequency range. Similar to optical measurements the radio signal of an air shower gives access to the longitudinal shower profile and, hence, the primary shower energy and particle type and mass [3–7]. Moreover it is possible to use the radio detection technique with almost 100% duty cycle. Therefore, with the availability of suitable electronics and improved shower simulation methods [8–11], the study of radio emission by air showers is receiving increasing attention in recent years [12–15].

Extensive air showers consist of a disk of high-energy particles traversing the atmosphere. With the thickness of this disk being of the order of 1 m up to tens of meters from the core, charged particles emit electromagnetic waves coherently mainly at frequencies below about 100 MHz, corresponding to a wave length of 3 m. However, large-scale exploitation of this signal and, in particular, triggering on the radio pulses directly, is hampered by considerable background radiation from natural sources and a significant amount of transients in this frequency range due to anthropogenic sources.

In comparison, observations in the lower GHz (microwave) range would have significant benefits. First, the background noise is extremely low at these frequencies and the atmosphere is almost perfectly transparent for such waves, nearly independent of cloud coverage. Second, reliable low-noise receiver systems are commercially

available because they have been developed and refined for satellite TV antennas for many decades.

Until recently, radio emission from air showers at GHz frequencies has not been considered a promising detection channel as the wavelengths are much smaller than the typical size of the shower disk. Early measurements covered only frequencies up to 550 MHz and showed a strong, exponential suppression of higher frequencies [16–19]. However, during the 2006/2007 flight of the balloon borne detector ANITA searching for radio pulses originating from neutrinos interacting in the Antarctic ice sheet, signals of air showers with frequencies up to 900 MHz were discovered serendipitously [20].

In addition, Gorham et al. [21] pointed out that the numerous, slow ionization electrons induced by the high-energy particles of the shower disk are expected to emit molecular bremsstrahlung at GHz frequencies. Several experiments were set up to search for such a signal using test beams [21–25] and air shower detectors [21, 26–30].

First unambiguous detections of microwave signals of air showers in the 3–4 GHz range were reported recently by two of these experiments, EASIER at the Pierre Auger Observatory [27] and CROME [30]. In this work we present the first study of the overall features of the microwave radiation of air showers using data of the CROME experiment. We show that the measured signal is compatible with the high-frequency tails of the geomagnetic and Askaryan (i.e. coherent radiation by the charge excess in the shower front) emission processes.

The CROME experiment – The CROME experiment [29, 30] was located within the KASCADE-Grande air shower array [31]. It consisted of various radio antennas covering a wide range of frequencies from about 1 MHz up to 12 GHz. The data reported in this Letter were taken with three C band (3.4–4.2 GHz) antennas, each consisting of a parabolic reflector with a diameter of 335 cm. The focal plane of each reflector was equipped with a 3×3 matrix of linearly polarised C band receivers. Hence, each antenna provided nine sharp, pencil-like beams with opening angles of $\lesssim 2^\circ$ (half-power beamwidth) and about 41 dBi gain. In the course of the experiment, the four outermost beams of each antenna were upgraded with additional receivers for the measurement of two polarisation directions.

The three C band antennas were pointed into different directions—15° from zenith towards magnetic south, zenith, and 15° from zenith towards magnetic north—to observe parts of the sky with varying angles relative to the local geomagnetic field (10°, 25°, and 40°, respectively). The pointing directions and radiation patterns of the antennas were validated using a calibrated airborne transmitter [29]. During the main operating period between May 2011 and November 2012 the experiment was gradually expanded to this final setup, with effective operating periods of 551 days for the vertical pointing, 378 days for the antenna pointed towards north, and 215 days for the antenna pointed towards south.

Logarithmic power detectors were used to measure the envelopes of the antenna signals within an effective bandwidth of ~ 600 MHz around 3.8 GHz. The response time of the complete system was ~ 3 ns with a total system noise temperature of $\lesssim 90$ K.

The read-out of all receivers was triggered by the detection of high-energy air showers with KASCADE-Grande, resulting in an effective energy range of 3×10^{15} to 10^{18} eV. The signals recorded within a window of ± 10 µs with respect to the KASCADE-Grande trigger were digitised and stored for offline analyses together with the results of the standard shower reconstruction of KASCADE-Grande [31].



Figure 1. Power (linear scale) as a function of time relative to the KASCADE-Grande trigger for the event with the highest signal-to-noise ratio (top) and a stereo event (bottom). The 8 dB thresholds for the signal search are shown as dashed lines, with the horizontal extents indicating the time windows in which signals are expected.

Event selection – The common operating time of KASCADE-Grande and CROME amounts to about 10 000 hours, corresponding to about 19 000 showers with energies $> 10^{16}$ eV having crossed the field of view of at least one receiver. Less than 1 % of the events were discarded due to external interference or extreme weather conditions.

The expected arrival time of the microwave signal from each shower was calculated using the reconstructed shower geometry, accounting for the altitude-dependent refractive index and the measured signal propagation times in the detectors. The typical uncertainty of the expected signal arrival time is ~ 50 ns. For each trace, the signal strength within this time window is quantified relative to the average noise level outside of the window.

Selecting air showers with energies above 3×10^{16} eV and signal-to-noise ratios exceeding a threshold of 8 dB yields 37 event candidates with microwave signals. The expected number of noise signals exceeding the threshold level is estimated from data by repeating the analysis for shifted time windows and is found to be 9.4 ± 0.2.

The time traces of microwave signals of two air showers are shown in Fig. 1. The top panel shows the largest signal measured with CROME, 17.7 dB above the noise level. The energy of the shower was 2.5×10^{17} eV, the zenith angle 5.6°, and the core distance to the antenna 120 m. One of the two stereo events is shown in the bottom panel (shower energy 3.7×10^{16} eV, zenith angle 3.7°, core distance 110 m). The absolute timing of all detected pulses is well within the expected time window and, for the stereo events, the relative delays between the pulses are in good agreement with the expectations (cf. Fig. 1).

Properties of the microwave signal – The reconstructed trajectory of each selected air shower typically intersects the fields of view of five receivers. Only the receivers viewing the air shower at high altitudes, usually above 2 km, detected a signal. Hence, the main emission region is close to the expected maximum of the shower development (~ 4 km above ground for a vertical shower with an energy of 10^{17} eV).



Figure 2. Distribution of viewing angles for showers passing the KASCADE-Grande and geometry selection criteria for the 37 receivers with microwave signals above the search threshold (red) and $\sim$ 15000 receivers without (black).

In Fig. 2, the distribution of the viewing angles—the angles between the shower axes and the boresight axes of the receivers—is shown for events passing the shower selection criteria. The distribution of the events with a microwave signal is sharply peaked below 4° and thus differs significantly from that of the events without a signal. Hence, the majority of the showers was detected from their forward direction. Taking into account the fields of view of the receivers ($\sim 2°$) and the uncertainties of the shower geometry ($\sim 1°$), the angles of emission are compatible with the Cherenkov angle in air ($\sim 1.1°$ at 4 km).

Further evidence for an emission in the forward direction is found in the distribution of the core positions



Figure 3. Positions at which a microwave signal has been detected relative to the shower core at $(0, 0)$. The colour contours indicate the maximum total field strength at ground level predicted by CoREAS for a typical vertical ($X_{\max} = 658\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-2}}$, upper panel) and a very deep vertical shower ($X_{\max} = 895\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-2}}$, lower panel) of 10^{17} eV is shown.

of the detected showers: the core positions form a ring structure at a distance of 70–150 m around the antennas. This is compatible with the size of the Cherenkov cone projected on ground from altitudes higher than 3 km.

Interpretation – The observed radio signal of air showers in the MHz range stems mainly from two emission processes [32]. Firstly, the deflection of the electrons and positrons of the shower disk in the Earth's magnetic field results in time-varying transverse currents (geomagnetic radiation) [33, 34]. Secondly, the shower disk contains 20–30 % more electrons than positrons, leading to a varying charge excess and, hence, electromagnetic radiation (Askaryan effect) [34–36]. Several simulation codes are available for describing these emission processes in detail. In the following we will use CoREAS [10] to obtain pre-

dictions for the expected emission features in the GHz range [5, 6, 10, 37].

The basic features of the expected microwave signal at ground and the dependence on the longitudinal shower profile are illustrated in Fig. 3 for two vertical showers of 10^{17} eV simulated with CoREAS: a typical shower with a depth of maximum $X_{\max} = 658\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-2}}$ (upper panel) and a deep proton shower with $X_{\max} = 895\,\mathrm{g\,cm^{-2}}$ (lower panel).

To compare the measured events with the predicted radio signal we first improve the purity of the event sample. By considering only events with a viewing angle less than 4° (cf. Fig. 2) we obtain 31 showers (including two stereo observations) for an expected number of 1.1 ± 0.1 noise signals. For these events, the positions at which the GHz signal is detected relative to the shower core are shown in Fig. 3. Both the structure of the Cherenkov-like ring and the asymmetries observed in data are qualitatively well reproduced by the typical shower.

Considering nearly vertical showers, the superposition of the mainly east-west polarised electric field of geomagnetic radiation and the radially inward-polarised field due to the Askaryan effect leads to a pronounced east-west asymmetry in the overall signal strength. With 14 and 3 events detected with the vertically pointing antenna east and west of the shower core, respectively, this asymmetry is visible in data. For the antenna oriented towards north, no significant east-west asymmetry is observed (4 vs. 8 events) as can be expected for an increasing dominance of geomagnetic emission due to the larger geomagnetic angle.

Thus, the GHz observations are consistent with the known signatures of the radio emission of high-energy charged particles in an air shower which is collimated in a cone about the Cherenkov angle due to the refractive index of air, an effect first described by de Vries et al. [8]. An antenna located on or near this cone—projected from the main emission region near the shower maximum on ground—receives a radio pulse of only a few ns duration. Hence, near the emission cone a harder frequency spectrum and coherence up to GHz frequencies are expected.

A detailed comparison of the observed signal amplitudes with the CoREAS predictions can only be done after a full end-to-end calibration of CROME and is beyond the scope of this article.

To compare the measured polarisation directions with CoREAS predictions we simulated showers for each observed event (cf. Fig. 4). Motivated by the composition measurement of KASCADE-Grande [38] iron nuclei were used. The polarisation information can be compared directly for the three events detected with dual-polarised receivers. It is found that the signal was always detected only with the receiver whose polarisation direction was close to that predicted in the simulations, but the statistics of such events is too small to draw conclusions.

Therefore, we applied a detector simulation to the time traces obtained from the CoREAS simulations and calculated the loss in detectable power due to the projection of the predicted electric field vector onto the polarisation direction of the receivers (polarisation loss). Assuming that the time-dependent local electric field vector is correctly described by the CoREAS predictions, we find an average polarisation loss of 34 % for the measured microwave signals. For unpolarised pulses from incoherent radiation with a flat frequency spectrum, an average polarisation loss of $50.0\,\% \pm 3.5\,\%$ would be expected. Therefore, within this model, the hypothesis that the observed radio emission is unpolarised is rejected with a significance of $4.7\,\sigma$.



Figure 4. Polarisation directions of receivers in which a microwave signal was detected (black lines). In addition, the predicted polarisation ellipses simulated with CoREAS for iron showers are shown in red. For dual-polarised receivers, the polarisation direction in which no signal was detected is shown as dashed line. The shower core is always at $(0, 0)$.

The polarisation pattern of the signal disfavours an explanation in terms of molecular bremsstrahlung as dominant emission mechanism which is in qualitative agreement with recent findings [22, 23, 25].

Conclusions and Outlook – Using air showers measured with CROME in coincidence with KASCADE-Grande we have determined fundamental properties of the microwave emission of air showers in the forward direction. We have shown that the spatial and angular distributions of the microwave signal are in good agreement with the extension of the well-known radio emission processes at tens of MHz into the GHz range close to the Cherenkov angle [5, 8, 10]. The collected polarisation information strongly supports this conclusion.

We have illustrated that this technique can be successfully used for the measurement of extensive air show-

ers. The main advantages for the observation in the GHz range are the low background noise, the nearly perfect transparency of the atmosphere at microwave frequencies, as well as the availability of a well-developed technology for microwave detection [21].

One can envisage various applications of measuring air showers with setups similar to the one presented in this Letter. For example, the data collected by the balloon-borne ANITA detector at the South Pole [20] or future balloon or satellite experiments of this type [39, 40] could be experimentally verified and calibrated.

Additionally, in contrast to optical detectors such as imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes [41], the possibility of measuring with a nearly 100 % duty cycle and using simple metallic reflectors instead of optical mirrors could make this measurement technique promising for air showers with energies above hundreds of TeV. Particularly a measurement of inclined air showers, where the footprint of the microwave signal extends over hundreds of meters, could compete with optical detectors.

Acknowledgments – It is our pleasure to acknowledge the interaction and collaboration with many colleagues from the Pierre Auger Collaboration, in particular Peter Gorham, Antoine Letessier-Selvon and Paolo Privitera. This work has been supported in part by the KIT start-up grant 2066995641, the ASPERA project BMBF 05A11VKA and 05A11PXA, the Helmholtz-University Young Investigators Group VH-NG-413 and the National Centre for Research and Development in Poland (NCBiR) grant ERA-NET-ASPERA/01/11.

* Corresponding author: radomir.smida@kit.edu
† Present address: DLR, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany
‡ Present address: University of Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg, Germany
§ Present address: Institut Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay, Orsay, France

[1] J. Blümer, R. Engel and J. R. Hörandel, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 63 (2009) 293 and arXiv:0904.0725 [astro-ph.HE].
[2] H. R. Allan, Prog. Element. Part. Cos. Ray Phys. 10 (1971) 171.
[3] T. Huege, R. Ulrich, and R. Engel, Astroprt. Phys. 30 (2008) 95-104.
[4] N.N. Kalmykov, A.A. Konstantinov, and R. Engel, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 73 (2009) 1191-1202.
[5] Alvarez-Muniz, J., Carvalho, W. R., Romero-Wolf, A., Tueros, M., Zas, E., Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 123007 and arXiv:1208.0951 [astro-ph.HE].
[6] K. D. de Vries, O. Scholten, K. Werner, Astropart. Phys. 45 (2013) 23–27 and arXiv:1304.1321 [astro-ph.HE].
[7] W.D. Apel *et al.* (LOPES Collab.), Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 071101.
[8] K. D. de Vries, A. M. van den Berg, O. Scholten, K. Werner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 061101 and arXiv:1107.0665 [astro-ph.HE].
[9] J. Alvarez-Muniz, W. R. Carvalho, E. Zas, Astropart. Phys. 35 (2012) 325–341 and arXiv:1107.1189 [astro-ph.HE].
[10] T. Huege, M. Ludwig, C. James, AIP Conf. Proc. 135 (2013) 128–132 and arXiv:1301.2132 [astro-ph.HE].
[11] T. Huege, Brazilian Journal of Physics (2014) and arXiv:1310.6927 [astro-ph.IM].
[12] H. Falcke *et al.* (LOPES Collab.), Nature 435 (2005) 313–316 and arXiv:astro-ph/0505383.
[13] D. Ardouin *et al.* (CODALEMA Collab.), Astropart. Phys. 26 (2006) 341–350 and arXiv:astro-ph/0608550.
[14] D. Ardouin *et al.*, Astropart. Phys. 34 (2011) 717–731 and arXiv:1007.4359 [astro-ph.IM].
[15] P. Abreu *et al.* (Pierre Auger Collab.), JINST 7 (2012) P10011 and arXiv:1209.3840 [astro-ph.IM].
[16] D. J. Fegan and P. J. Slevin, Nature 217 (1968) 440 – 441.
[17] R. E. Spencer, Nature 222 (1969) 460–461.
[18] D. J. Fegan and D. M. Jennings, Nature 223 (1969) 722–723.
[19] D. J. Fegan, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A662 (2012) S2–S11 and arXiv:1104.2403 [astro-ph.IM].
[20] S. Hoover *et al.* (ANITA Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 151101 and arXiv:1005.0035 [astro-ph.HE].
[21] P. W. Gorham *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 032007 and arXiv:0705.2589 [astro-ph].
[22] C. Williams *et al.*, EPJ Web of Conferences 53 (2013) 08008 Proc. of UHECR 2012, CERN, Geneva.
[23] J. Alvarez-Muniz *et al.*, EPJ Web of Conferences 53 (2013) 08011 Proc. of UHECR 2012, CERN, Geneva.
[24] J. Blümer, R. Engel, P. Facal, T. Fujii, M. Fukushima, *et al.*, Proc of 33rd Int. Cosmic Ray Conf. (ICRC 2013), Rio de Janeiro (2013), id 1200.
[25] E. Conti, G. Collazuol and G. Sartori, arXiv:1408.5886 [astro-ph.HE].
[26] J. Alvarez-Muniz *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A719 (2013) 70–80 and arXiv:1208.2734 [astro-ph.IM].
[27] P. Facal San Luis *et al.* (Pierre Auger Collab.), EPJ Web of Conferences 53 (2013) 08009 Proc. of UHECR 2012, CERN, Geneva.
[28] R. Gaior *et al.* (Pierre Auger Collab.), Proc of 33rd Int. Cosmic Ray Conf. (ICRC 2013), Rio De Janeiro (2013), id 0883 and arXiv:1307.5059 [astro-ph.HE].
[29] R. Šmída *et al.* (CROME Collab.), Proc of 32nd Int. Cosmic Ray Conf. (ICRC 2011), Beijing (2011), id 0177 and arXiv:1108.0588 [hep-ex].
[30] R. Šmída *et al.* (CROME Collab.), EPJ Web of Conferences 53 (2013) 08010 Proc. of UHECR 2012, CERN, Geneva.
[31] W. Apel *et al.* (KASCADE-Grande Collab.), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A620 (2010) 202–216.
[32] T. Huege, M. Ludwig, O. Scholten, and K. D. de Vries, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A662 (2012) S179–S186 and arXiv:1009.0346 [astro-ph.HE].
[33] T. Huege and H. Falcke, Astron. Astrophys. 412 (2003) 19–34 and arXiv:astro-ph/0309622.
[34] F. D. Kahn and I. Lerche, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 289 (1966) 206–213.
[35] G. A. Askaryan, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 14 (1961) 441–443.
[36] G. A. Askaryan, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 48 (1965) 658–659.
[37] C. W. James, H. Falcke, T. Huege, M. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. E 84 (2011) 056602 and arXiv:1007.4146 [physics.class-ph].

[38] W.D. Apel *et al.* (KASCADE-Grande Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 171104 and arXiv:1107.5885.
[39] P.W. Gorham *et al.*, Astropart. Phys. 35 (2011) 242–256 and arXiv:1102.3883 [astro-ph.IM].
[40] A. Romero-Wolf *et al.*, arXiv:1302.1263 [astro-ph.IM].
[41] H. J. Völk and K. Bernlöhr, Exper. Astron. 25 (2009) 173–191 and arXiv:0812.4198 [astro-ph].